

### RTMENT OF COMMERCE **UNITED STATES DE** Patent and Tradema Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/492,369 01/27/00 **EXAMINER** MMC2/0411 Joel H. Bock

Dorn, McEachran, Jambor & Keating 55 E. Monroe Street Suite 2940 Chicago IL 60603

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2833 DATE MAILED:

04/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/492,369	BLAHA, WILL	BLAHA, WILLLIAM E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	•	
	Shanetta D. Ore	2833	·	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence	address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second part of the provision of the p	ON. R 1.136 (a). In no event, however a reply within the statutory minimulariod will apply and will expire SIX tatute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t	his communication.	
Status		•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
/ <del>_</del>	This action is non-final			
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	lowance except for form der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	al matters, prosecution as t 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims	*		V )	
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	idrawn from consideration	on.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	*	*		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.		+	·	
8) Claims are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requireme	nt.		
Application Papers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objec		, ()		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		d b)  disapproved.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the		į		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been receive	ed.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	and the second s		•	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			onal Stage	
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).		
14)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for c	domestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
<u></u>	9			
Attachment(s)	40.	ntonious Summons (DTO 412) Don	oer No(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 19) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Par Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/492,369

Art Unit: 2833

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

The objection is withdrawn regarding the drawings.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito (5,735,706) in view of Hower et al (5,860,829).

Regarding claim 1, Ito discloses a wire connector joining two or more incoming wires (1,2), a housing (10), wall (21), cavity (11,12), conductive clip (15), and a clip having first and second retaining fingers (Fig 3B). Ito does not disclose a conductive extension in electrical engagement with the clip. Hower et al discloses a conductive extension. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connector of Ito to include a conductive extension as taught by Hower et al to provide for an external electrical connection.

Regarding claim 2, Ito discloses a conductive clip having a plurality of tines (Fig 2).

Regarding claim 3, Ito discloses a two-part housing with base (10) and cap (24).

Regarding claim 4, Ito discloses a conductive clip with a plurality of finger forming a push-in connector (Fig 8).

Regarding claim 5, Hower et al discloses an extension blade-type (18).

Application/Control Number: 09/492,369

Art Unit: 2833

Regarding claim 6, Ito discloses the extension of wire is a pigtail (Fig 6).

Regarding claim 7, Ito discloses a method of connecting two or more wires to a common terminus with a push-in wire connector (Col. 5, line 37-42).

Regarding claim 8, Ito discloses a method of connecting two or more wires having common terminus with an insulation displacement connector (Col. 4, lines 62-67).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 1, 2001 have been fully considered moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

## Relevant Prior Art .

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Santos, Endo et al and Escane all disclose a two-part housing.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shanetta D. Ore whose telephone number is (703) 308-2854. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/492,369

Art Unit: 2833

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

sdo April 6, 2001

Paula Bradley

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800